Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/965,605	PAU ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	ARTHUR O. HALL	3714
All Participants:	Status of Application:	
(1) <u>ARTHUR O. HALL</u> .	(3) Michael Chan.	
(2) Larry Jarvis.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 23 March 2010	Time: <u>4:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ No		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: 35 USC 103(a)		
Claims discussed: 23, 31		
Prior art documents discussed: Baerlocher (US6,776,711), Nguyen (US6,857,959), Yoseloff (US6,331,143), Moore, Jr. (US5,964,463), Walker et al. (US6,174,235), Hamano (US5,205,555)		
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Arthur O Hall/ Examiner, Art Unit 3714 (a	Applicant∕Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

Examiner and applicants discussed amendments that would recite that a non-winning outcome has no value, that at least one outcome has a positive value, that the operation of the selector is by player operation, and that an outcome is selected by the gaming machine after the selection of a displayed designated award set is made by the player operation of the selector.